

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,289	12/08/2003	Ronald T. Butler	12,559	1588
7	7590 04/26/2005		EXAMINER	
William W. Haefliger			REIS, TRAVIS M	
Suite 512			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
201 So. Lake A Pasadena, CA			2859	
r asaucha, CA	71101		2037	
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/729,289	BUTLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Travis M. Reis	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		-				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 I						
· 	· —					
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless ... _ _ _
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 & 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dent (U.S. Patent 3305936).

Dent discloses a multiple gauge assembly comprising in combination a first adjustable gauge (1) elongated in a first direction, a second adjustable gauge (6) elongated in a second direction, said first and second directions being mutually perpendicular, and a third adjustable gauge (7) elongated in a third direction, said third direction being substantially parallel to said second direction; said assembly including carriers (2, 5, 5) supporting said first, second, and third gauges for slidable linear adjustable interconnected movement in second and third directions being substantially perpendicular to the first gauge, said first carrier supporting said first gauge for vertical movement (Figures 1 & 2); wherein said gauges are considered "interconnected" in as much as said second and third gauges are interconnected in applicant's invention as shown in the Figures 1-6.

Furthermore, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed (i.e. to establish a rim edge radial direction; to establish a rim offset dimension; to establish a tire peripheral dimension; whereby clearance between the wheel and said vehicle structure can be predicatively ascertained, as during wheel turning, prior to installation of the wheel on the mount) does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex*

With respect to the preamble of the claims 1-3: the preamble of the claim does not provide enough patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self – contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent in view of Mason (U.S. Patent Re. 33302).

Dent discloses all of the instant claimed invention as stated above in the rejection of claims 1-3, but does not disclose a connector plate carrying said assembly, and configured for and connected by bolt-on connection to said vehicle wheel mount, said mount turnable with the wheel about said pivot axis.

Mason discloses a macpherson strut alignment gauge and straightening apparatus

(25) with a mounting/connector plate (26) for configured for and connected by bolt-on connection to a wheel support flange (23) (Figures 3 & 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to add the mounting/connector plate disclosed by Mason to the gauge disclosed by Dent in order to steadily secure the gauge to the automobile while measuring. Furthermore, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed (i.e. said flange turnable with the wheel about said pivot axis) does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations, since the gauge would still be capable of being turned. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 & 5-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of

Art Unit: 2859

this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Travis M. Reis whose telephone number is (571) 272-2249. The examiner can normally be reached on 8–5 M--F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for all communications.

Travis M Reis Examiner Art Unit 2859

tmr April 25, 2005 Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Page 5

CHRISTOPHER W. FULTON PRIMARY EXAMINER